

² Ginevskii, A. S. and Solodkin, E. E., "The Effect of Lateral Surface Curvature on the Characteristics of Axially-Symmetric Turbulent Boundary Layers," *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, Vol. 22, 1958, pp. 1169-1179.

³ Sparrow, E., Eckert, E., and Minkowycz, W., "Heat Transfer and Skin Friction for Turbulent Boundary-Layer Flow Longitudinal to a Circular Cylinder," *Transactions of the ASME: Journal of Applied Mechanics*, Vol. 37, 1963, pp. 32-43.

⁴ Richmond, R. L., "Experimental Investigation of Thick Axially Symmetric Boundary Layers on Cylinders at Subsonic and Hypersonic Speeds," Hypersonic Research Project Memo 39, June 1957, Guggenheim Aeronautical Lab., California Inst. of Technology, Pasadena, Calif.

Comment on "Laminar Thermal Boundary Layers on Continuous Surfaces"

H. E. EICKHOFF*

Institut für Überschalltechnik, Technische Universität, Berlin

IN a recent Note¹ heat-transfer rates in laminar boundary layers on continuous surfaces were discussed and compared to those of a semi-infinite flat plate. The analysis was performed numerically. As was shown in Ref. 2 this can be done analytically by an approximation yielding results which agree well with those obtained numerically. The calculation procedure is based on linearization of the boundary-layer equations, first proposed by Piercy and Preston³ and Weyl.⁴ Using a Taylor series expansion for the velocity at the wall results easily can be obtained as was shown by Schlünder⁵ for the flat plate.

For constant wall temperature, the thermal boundary-layer equation in dimensionless form

$$(\partial^2 \theta / \partial \eta^2) + \frac{1}{2} Pr (\partial \theta / \partial \eta) f = 0 \quad (1)$$

with boundary values for dimensionless temperature $\theta_{\eta=0} = 0$, $\theta_{\eta=\infty} = 1$ can be integrated, when the dimensionless stream function f is assumed to be known. As solution for the heat-transfer coefficient one obtains

$$\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \eta} \right)_{\eta=0} = 1 / \int_0^\infty \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} Pr \int_0^\eta f d\eta \right) d\eta \quad (2)$$

With two terms of a Taylor series expansion of the velocity profile $\partial f / \partial \eta$ at the wall

$$1 + (\partial^2 f / \partial \eta^2)_{\eta=0} \eta + \dots$$

Integration of Eq. (2) yields the final result

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial \theta / \partial \eta)_{\eta=0} &\equiv Nu / (Re)^{1/2} \\ &= (Pr)^{1/2} \left/ \left[(\pi)^{1/2} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{(\partial^2 f / \partial \eta^2)_{\eta=0}}{(Pr)^{1/2}} + 0.738 \frac{(\partial^2 f / \partial \eta^2)_{\eta=0}}{Pr} \right] \right. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

With dimensionless wall-shear stress

$$(\partial^2 f / \partial \eta^2)_{\eta=0} = -0.444$$

results calculated from Eq. (3) are in rather good agreement with the curve shown in Fig. 1 of Ref. (1) in the range of

$$0.1 \leq Pr \leq 1000$$

Even for more complex problems, as the boundary layer behind a shock wave with vaporization and combustion closed analytical solutions of suitable accuracy are obtained by linearization² compared to results of an analog computer study.⁶

The advantage of a closed solution is to show general

tendencies. For example from Eq. (3) the asymptotic behavior with large Prandtl number may be seen. If $Pr \rightarrow \infty$, then $Nu / (Re \cdot Pr)^{1/2} = 1 / (\pi^{1/2})$. The same value is valid for the semi-infinite flat plate with $Pr \rightarrow 0$ explained by the fact, that in both cases flow velocity is a constant in the region of thermal boundary layer. If, however, $Pr \rightarrow 0$ in the case of the continuous moving surface then boundary-layer theory no longer is valid for heat-transfer calculations.

The potential flow induced by the boundary-layer flow is determined with the normal velocity component at the edge of boundary layer, which is $v_E \sim 1/x^{1/2}$. The same relation holds for the plane turbulent jet, where potential flow calculations already have been performed.⁷ They show that the longitudinal velocity u_E is of the same order of magnitude as the normal component. Therefore heat-transfer calculations on the assumption of $v_E \sim 1/x^{1/2}$, $u_E = 0$ yielding $Nu / (Re \cdot Pr)^{1/2} = 0.808 Pr^{1/2}$ ⁸ seem not to be correct. The same value of heat transfer is given in Ref. (1).

References

- ¹ Rhodes, C. A. and Kaminer, H., Jr., "Laminar Thermal Boundary Layers on Continuous Surfaces," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 10, No. 3, March 1972, pp. 331-333.
- ² Eickhoff, H. E., "Näherungslösungen für laminare Grenzschichtprobleme bei kontinuierlich bewegter Wand," *Deutsche Luft- und Raumfahrt, Forschungsbericht* 72-26, Teil 1, 1972, p. 102.
- ³ Piercy, N. A. V. and Preston, G. H., "A simple solution of the flat plate problem of skin friction and heat transfer," *Philosophical Magazine*, Vol. 7, No. 21, 1936, p. 996.
- ⁴ Weyl, H., "Concerning the differential equations of some boundary layer problems," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, Vol. 27, 1941, p. 578; also *Annual of Mathematics*, Vol. 43, 1942, p. 381.
- ⁵ Schlünder, E. U., "Über analytische Näherungslösungen für laminare Grenzschichtprobleme," *Wärme- und Stoffübertragung*, Bd. 1, 1968, p. 35.
- ⁶ Ragland, K. W., "Laminar Boundary Layer behind a Shock with Vaporization and Combustion," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 8, No. 3, March 1970, p. 498.
- ⁷ Kraemer, K., "Die Potentialströmung in der Umgebung von Freistrahlen," *Zeitschrift für Flugwissenschaften*, Vol. 19, 1972, Heft 3, p. 93.
- ⁸ Rhodes, C. A., "Heat transfer in laminar magnetohydrodynamic boundary layers on continuous surfaces," *4th International Heat Transfer Conference*, Vol. II, Paris-Versailles, 1970.

Reply by Authors to H. E. Eickhoff

C. A. RHODES* AND H. KAMINER JR.†
University of South Carolina, Columbia, S.C.

THE authors wish to thank H. E. Eickhoff for informing us of his work on continuous moving surfaces and for his interesting comments. The series expansion technique used by Eickhoff has the advantage that it leads to a better understanding of the asymptotic behavior with some sacrifice in accuracy.

Eickhoff correctly points out we have assumed in Ref. 1 that the freestream longitudinal velocity component $u_E = 0$ negligible in determining the small Prandtl number heat transfer. The energy equation for the region outside the boundary layer contains the terms $u_E \partial T / \partial x$ and $v_E \partial T / \partial y$. Since the temperature gradient in the x direction is small compared to that in the y direction, the former term is small compared to the latter even

Received December 26, 1972.

Index categories: Boundary Layers and Convective Heat Transfer—Laminar; Shock Waves and Detonation; Hydrodynamics.

* Associate Professor. Member AIAA.

† Undergraduate Student.

Received October 17, 1972.

Index categories: Boundary Layers and Convective Heat Transfer—Laminar; Shock Waves and Detonations; Hydrodynamics.

* Assistenz-Professor.

if u_E is the same order of magnitude. Since u_E only appears in the energy equation, the low Prandtl number result contained in Ref. 1 should be reasonably accurate. If the temperature gradient in the x direction is comparable to that in the y direction, the heat conduction term in the x direction must be included also.

Reference

- ¹ Rhodes, C. A. and Kammer, H., Jr., "Laminar Thermal Boundary Layers on Continuous Surfaces," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 10, No. 3, March 1972, pp. 331-333.

Comment on

"Lift of Wing-Body Combination"

ALEXANDER H. FLAX*

Institute for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Va.

THE Note on the lift of multiple finned bodies by H. T. Yang¹ undertakes to "correct" a result of Miles published in 1952.² Unfortunately, Yang has made an error in reading or copying Miles' Eq. (3) and has omitted the exponent n (where n is the number of fins) in one term. Therefore in Yang's Eq. (3a) the term

$$[(1 + R/S)/2]^{4/n}$$

should be replaced by

$$[\{1 + (R/S)^n\}/2]^{4/n}$$

With this correction, it is easily verified that Yang's Eqs. (3) and (3a) for lift are identical in agreement with Miles' earlier work and reduce to the well-known result of Spreiter³ and Ward⁴ for the case $n = 2$.

References

- ¹ Yang, H. T., "Lift of Wing-Body Combination," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 10, No. 11, Nov. 1972, pp. 1535-1536.
² Miles, J. W., "On Interference Factors for Finned Bodies," *Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences*, Vol. 18, No. 4, April 1952, p. 287.
³ Spreiter, J. R., "Aerodynamic Properties of Slender Wing-Body Combinations at Subsonic, Transonic, and Supersonic Speeds," TN1662, July 1948, NACA.
⁴ Ward, G. N., "Supersonic Flow Past Slender Pointed Bodies," *Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. II, Pt. I, 1949, pp. 76-97.

Received December 18, 1972; revision received February 5, 1973.

Index categories: Airplane and Component Aerodynamics; Rocket Vehicle Aerodynamics.

* President, Fellow AIAA.

Reply by Author to A. H. Flax

H. T. YANG*

University of Southern California, Los Angeles, Calif.

I AM grateful to A. H. Flax for pointing out the erroneous omission of the exponent n in my Eq. (3a). With this equation thus restored to its original form due to Professor Miles, it is seen that Eqs. (3) and (3a) are indeed equivalent. For n other than 1 or 2, both equations reduce to

$$L = \pi \rho_{\infty} U_{\infty}^2 \alpha s^2 \left\{ 2 \left[\frac{1 + (R/s)^n}{2} \right]^{4/n} - \left(\frac{R}{s} \right)^2 \right\}$$

For $n = 1$, both reduce to

$$L = \frac{1}{4} \pi \rho_{\infty} U_{\infty}^2 \alpha s^2 \left[1 + 4 \left(\frac{R}{s} \right) - 6 \left(\frac{R}{s} \right)^2 + 4 \left(\frac{R}{s} \right)^3 + \left(\frac{R}{s} \right)^4 \right]$$

For $n = 2$, both reduce to Eq. (4), a well-known result as pointed out by Flax.

It is of interest to note that Eq. (3) was derived from the complex potential, Eq. (1), which may be useful in other applications.

Received February 7, 1973.

Index categories: Airplane and Component Aerodynamics; Rocket Vehicle Aerodynamics.

* Faculty Advisor, Associate Fellow AIAA.

Errata

Thin-Walled Beams in Frame Synthesis

RICHARD B. NELSON AND LEWIS P. FELTON

University of California, Los Angeles, Calif.

[AIAA J. 10, 1565-1569 (1972)]

THE direction of the inequality in Eq. (3c) should be reversed. In Eq. (6), the quantity $3^{1/2}$ should be $3^{2/3}$.

Received March 5, 1973.

Index category: Optimal Structural Design.